

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

LG DISPLAY CO., LTD.,	
Plaintiff,	
v.	C.A. No. 06-726-JJF
	C.A. No. 07-357-JJF
CHI MEI OPTOELECTRONICS CORPORATION, et al.	CONSOLIDATED CASES
Defendants.	

LG DISPLAY CO., LTD.'S ANSWER TO
CHI MEI OPTOELECTRONICS CORPORATION'S COUNTERCLAIMS TO
THE ADDITIONAL COUNTERCLAIMS OF LG DISPLAY CO., LTD.

LG Display, Co., Ltd. ("LG Display"), by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby files its Answer in Response to Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corporation's Counterclaims to the Additional Counterclaims of LG Display in the above titled action, filed on May 14, 2008 as D.I. 206.

RESPONSE TO ALLEGATIONS AS TO THE COUNTERCLAIMS

1. The allegations in paragraph 1 of the Counterclaims are conclusions of law to which no response is required.
2. The allegations in paragraph 2 of the Counterclaims are conclusions of law to which no response is required.
3. LG Display admits the allegations of paragraph 3 of the Counterclaims.
4. LG Display denies the allegations of paragraph 4 of the Counterclaims.
5. The allegations in paragraph 5 of the Counterclaims are conclusions of law to which no response is required.

RESPONSE TO ALLEGATIONS AS TO THE PARTIES

6. LG Display admits the allegations of paragraph 6 of the Counterclaims.

7. LG Display admits the allegations of paragraph 7 of the Counterclaims.

RESPONSE TO ALLEGATIONS AS TO JURISDICTION AND VENUE

8. LG Display admits that these Counterclaims are asserted under the Declaratory Judgment Act and the Patent Laws, but denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 8 of the Counterclaims.

9. LG Display admits the allegations of paragraph 9 of the Counterclaims.

10. LG Display admits the allegations of paragraph 10 of the Counterclaims.

11. LG Display admits the allegations of paragraph 11 of the Counterclaims.

RESPONSE TO COUNTERCLAIM COUNT III

12. LG Display refers to and incorporates herein its responses to CMO's allegations in paragraphs 1-11, above, as though fully set forth herein.

13. LG Display denies the allegations in paragraph 13 of the Counterclaims.

14. LG Display denies the allegations in paragraph 14 of the Counterclaims.

RESPONSE TO COUNTERCLAIM COUNT IV

15. LG Display refers to and incorporates herein its responses to CMO's allegations in paragraphs 1-14, above, as though fully set forth herein.

16. LG Display denies the allegations in paragraph 16 of the Counterclaims.

17. LG Display denies the allegations in paragraph 17 of the Counterclaims.

18. LG Display denies the allegations in paragraph 18 of the Counterclaims.

19. LG Display denies the allegations in paragraph 19 of the Counterclaims.

RESPONSE TO UNNUMBERED PARAGRAPH

As to the unnumbered paragraph, including subparagraphs (a) through (e), LG Display denies the allegations contained therein and denies that CMO is entitled to the requested relief.

June 6, 2008

BAYARD, P.A.

/s/ Richard D. Kirk (rk0922)
Richard D. Kirk
Ashley B. Stitzer
222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 900
P.O. Box 25130
Wilmington, DE 19899-5130
rkirk@bayardfirm.com
(302) 655-5000

Counsel for Plaintiff
LG DISPLAY CO., LTD
LG DISPLAY AMERICA, INC.

OF COUNSEL:

Gaspere J. Bono
R. Tyler Goodwyn
Lora A. Brzezynski
McKenna Long & Aldridge LLP
1900 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006
202-496-7500